BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268–0001

RECEIVED

AUG 21 4 41 PM '01

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Complaint on First-Class Mail Service Standards

Docket No. C2001-3

MOTION OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE FOR LEAVE TO REPLY TO ANSWERS IN OPPOSITION TO POSTAL SERVICE MOTION TO DISMISS (August 21, 2001)

The Complaint submitted in this proceeding was received by the Commission on June 19, 2001. On July 30, 2001, the Postal Service filed its Motion to Dismiss. The Office of the Consumer Advocate and the Complainant filed separate Answers in Opposition to Postal Service Motion to Dismiss on August 14, 2001 (hereinafter, their respective Oppositions). The Postal Service hereby moves for leave to reply to both Oppositions.

The aforementioned pleadings contain a thorough and robust dialogue regarding the legal issues surrounding the question of whether the Commission should assert jurisdiction to hear the complaint. Accordingly, the Postal Service generally does not consider it necessary to request permission to engage in rebuttal to the arguments advanced in opposition to its motion.

However, a very limited opportunity to respond is necessary for several reasons. First, both parties introduce into the debate a novel interpretation of section 3661 to which the Postal Service should be permitted to respond. Second, the Complainant's

opposition characterizes the Postal Service's July 30, 2001, motion and the supporting Declaration of Charles Gannon with such baseless calumniation as to compel a response.

Wherefore, the Postal Service respectfully requests leave to file a reply to the August 14, 2001, Oppositions. That reply is filed concurrently with this motion as a separate document.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

) Idual

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux Chief Counsel Ratemaking

Michael T. Tidwell

Attorney

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268-2998/ FAX: -5402 August 21, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice, I have this day served the foregoing document upon:

Douglas F. Carlson P.O. Box 7868 Santa Cruz CA 95061-7868

David B. Popkin P.O. Box 528 Englewood NJ 07631-0528

Michael T. Tidwell

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260-1137 (202) 268-2998/ FAX: -5402 August 21, 2001